

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/711,908

Applicant(s)

KOIDE ET AL.9

Examiner

Wai-Sing Louie

Art Unit

2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wai-Sing Louie(3) Peter Balnave(2) Douglas A. Wille

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 March 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Review Shakuda and Watanabe on the relation of the mole fraction of indium in the active layer and its impact on the photon energy and emitted wavelength. The intentional impurity of Zn in Shakuda was discussed.

Claim(s) discussed: 21

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,537,433 and US 5,557,115


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required